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Abstract—This paper discusses how a lean tool like value stream mapping can be used in service sector scenarios where we need to manage, information flow more than material flow and how VSM can effectively reduce the lead time of processes like open-tendering and make the organization improve continuously and turn into a lean enterprise. A few case studies were carried out at Tata Power Delhi Distribution Limited to explore the current state scenario and identify the areas of waste and non-value added activities to develop the current state maps. A future state map is proposed to show the action plan to improve and reduce the lead time of existing process using various kaizens.
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I. INTRODUCTION

In today’s scenario when competition in market is really high, every company wants to strive towards reduced lead time of the processes which results into improved satisfaction of internal and external customers ultimately. Power distribution sector has been devoid of any research in the field of lean methods and its tool, this work is a small effort to bridge the gap in the application of lean to power distribution sector, through the value stream mapping approach. The aim of value stream mapping is to make the downstream get everything smoothly coming from the upstream by removing the non-value adding activities and waste from the whole value stream and pass on the value to the end customer.

Usually value stream mapping is used to picturize the material as well information flow in a set manufacturing environment, but in the case of handling contracts of power distribution sector, the material flow is actually the information flow through various methods such as emails, telephones, mouth to mouth communication (meetings) and open-tendering is a system of inviting tenders by public advertisement in most public manner possible, therefore to map such a process we chose value stream mapping because VSM helps in:

- Visualization of total process
- Seeing the process from customer’s point of view
- Finding wastes in the process
- Developing the linkage between the sub-processes
- Aligning the whole organization, process by process
- Empowering with more focused approach
- Helps in selection of Kaizens, PokaYoka’s for improvement.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Beau Keyte and Drew A. Locher in the complete lean enterprise (2016), explained how value stream mapping can be used for information management purposes, service industry as per them views their different departments as individual silos and as independent contributors to the company’s success, since they do not see the interaction and integration of the work activities of all departments together.

Rahul R. Joshi et al (2012) used value stream mapping to reduce the waste in a die manufacturing industry by visualizing the production process in current state map and then after tracking the entire process they came up with future state map and suggested ways to improve.

Joseph C. Chen1 et al (2012) proposed, a systematic procedure for conducting Lean Office techniques. The systematic procedure proposed extends concept to transform an office environment into a Lean office that has customer-triggered working processes, faster and systematic task tracking, and reduced costs due to a reduction of non-value added activities.

Don Tapping and Tom Shuker elaborated employee’s benefits from lean in a very proficient way saying Lean seeks to eliminate waste, and employees function better in waste free environment, waste in the workplace causes fatigue, frustration and burnout in value stream mapping for office environment (2003)

III. METHODOLOGY

Value stream mapping is a pencil and paper tool which is used to visualize the whole process all together on one paper.
Our research was influenced by three major contributors, 1) literature review covering the existing research 2) Case studies conducted at Tata Power DDL 3) Needs of power distribution sector for continuously improving.

Choosing the product family:

Using brainstorming, benchmarking and innovating developing kaizens and pokayoka’s to develop a future state value stream map.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

For this study a case study approach has been chosen, this approach is considered to be appropriate as it allows to investigate the non-value adding activities and wastes and their sources within the real world scenario. The approach was to conduct a single case study, but as interlinkage was found, it was chosen to collect data and conduct and study three case studies for this research.

Case Study:

During my tenure at TPDDL, contracts department was dealing with procurement of 3 IT products:

1) Reverse proxy
2) Antivirus
3) Firewall

For procuring these three products, TPDDL got approval from regulatory(DERC) of 1.53 crores.
A. Current State VSM for Procurement of Reverse Proxy

Areas of Major Wastes Identified:
1. Manual negotiation (13 days)
2. Vendor accepting order (13 days)
3. Approval for purchase (13 days)

Reasons and Types of Wastes:
1. Manual negotiation:
   a) Waste of waiting: Here we were just waiting for 13 days so that we are able to adjust the budget. But as per the PR submission date, antivirus’s PR was submitted on 22/11/16 whereas reverse proxy’s PR was submitted on 21/12/16, even then antivirus’s reverse auction was late, due to which reverse proxy suffered a delay of 13 days.

2. Vendor accepting order:
   a) Waste of waiting: Here also we were simply waiting, because we were having one single OEM for this product and we were found helpless, as he was taking his time for accepting our offer of 80 lakhs.

3. Approval for purchase:
   a) Waste of defects (correction): After 22 hours HOG contracts rejected the request of initiator without telling the reason, then pre-audit rejected it asking for clarification about consumption details.
   b) Waste of waiting: Pre-audit took 5 days and 9 hours to respond to recommended requests of HOD contracts and then asked for clarifications, then again took 22 hours for approval. After them HOD (EC & AP) took 3 days 15 hours for the approval for already many times checked purchase request. In total used 13 days for the process.
B. Current State VSM for Procurement of Antivirus

Areas of Major Wastes Identified:

1) Notice inviting tenders (1 month 19 days)
2) Re-Purchase request release (13 days)
3) Tax finalization (3 days)
4) Approval for purchase (11 days)

Reasons and Types of Wastes Identified:

1. Notice inviting tenders:
   a) Waste of defects (correction): In this process, Contracts departments disapproved the PR request of IT department due several reasons, like budget clarifications, specification related queries causing lot of delay.
   b) Waste of waiting: This process initiated late, unnecessary waiting was involved causing delay.

2. Re-purchase request release:
   a) Waste of defects (correction): In this case as it was a new product, it was found in such a later stage, that it does not have an asset code in the system, which has to generated again.

3. Tax finalization:
   a) Waste of defect (correction): It was found even after the reverse auction that, there was service for 3 years also includes, for which service tax has to be made inclusive in capex, resulting which a non-value adding step got added to the process making 3 days of delay.

4. Approval for purchase:
   a) Waste of defects (correction): After 27 hours’ Pre-audit rejected the request of initiator asking for DERC approval, RA screenshot and Price Bid missing.
   Waste of waiting: Initiator took 2 days 15 hours to attach the asked documents from pre-audit and then pre-audit took 7 days 2 hours for approving the request.
c. Current State VSM for Procurement of Firewall

Areas of Major Wastes Identified:
1) Notice inviting tenders (20 days)
2) Technical bid opening (1 month)
3) Approval for purchase (20 days)

Reasons and Types of Wastes Identified:
1. Notice inviting tenders:
   a) Waste of defect (correction): In this process, Contracts departments disapproved the PR request of IT department due several reasons, like budget clarifications, specification related queries causing lot of delay.
   b) Waste of waiting: unnecessary waiting was involved causing delay.

2. Technical Bid opening:
   a) Waste of waiting: We took 10 days extra then specified time for letting bidder to bid against our published tender, but in IMS document it is nowhere mentioned that during festive season we can extend such time limit.

3. Approval for purchase:
   a) Waste of defect (correction): Approval got rejected several times at several levels including HOG contracts (once), then at pre-audit twice resulting into huge delay.
   b) Waste of waiting: HOG contracts took 3 days 3 hours to approve the request initiated, then 2 days for rejecting, again HOG contracts took 4 days 12 hour to revert with clarification and then pre-audit again rejected after 2 days 6 hours, which further took 5 days 2 hours from pre-audit to get final approval.

D. Kaizens to Remove Gaps from Current Process
1. Initiate NIT’s on FIFO basis
2. Create a checklist for internal customer, comprising of documents needed to be attached for generating a purchase request.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CHECKPOINTS:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ASSET CODE:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUDGET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MANAGEMENT APPROVAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPECIFICATIONS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(TECHNICAL, QUANTITY ETC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROBABLE VENDOR/BIDDERS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vendor 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Do vendor development for such products
4. Create checklist of documents required for approval.
5. Create service level agreement for all levels defining the timeline in which they need to approve the purchase approval.
6. Asset code generation for new products should be a parallel activity.
7. Do tax-finalization at the time of reverse auction.

SOP’s should include the defined timelines for technical Bid opening which can be extended during festive seasons.

E. Proposed Future State VSM for Open-Tendering Process

![Proposed future state VSM](image)

Figure VI-Proposed future state VSM

V. CONCLUSION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Current state scenario</th>
<th>Future state with negotiation</th>
<th>Future state without negotiation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lead time</td>
<td>90 days</td>
<td>61 days</td>
<td>51 days</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hence by using value stream mapping in such service sector scenario we observed that lead time of the total process of open-tendering can be reduced to 32.2% if negotiation with vendors is involved and 43.4% if negotiation with vendors is not involved. This proves the efficacy of value stream mapping in power distribution sector.
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